# MEMORANDUM 

Date: $\quad$ December 8, 2022
To: $\quad$ Chris Moore, Executive Director
From: Kiley Dancy, Hannah Hart, and Julia Beaty, Staff
Subject: Updated Recreational Demand Model Results
As described in the summary of the November 15, 2022 Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass Monitoring Committee (MC) meeting, the MC considered the results of two recreational fishery models: the Recreational Demand Model (RDM) with outputs as of November 10, 2022, and the Recreational Fishery Dynamics Model (RFDM) with outputs as of November 15, 2022. The MC identified the RDM as their preferred model for setting 2023 summer flounder recreational measures and the RFDM as their preferred model for setting 2023 recreational black sea bass measures. The majority of the MC preferred the RFDM for setting 2023 scup recreational measures.

The RDM modelers provided updated model results to Council staff on December 6, 2022.. The MC discussed the performance of both models during their November 15 meeting and the RDM modelers subsequently made revisions to improve the performance of their model, as described in more detail in the next section and in the appendix. These updates were not requested by staff or the MC. Given time constraints, the MC and the Advisory Panel have not had the opportunity to meaningfully review or comment on this new information.

Changes to the RDM, updated results, and implications for 2023 measures are described below. As noted below, the updated results change the outcome of the Percent Change Approach for summer flounder.

## Changes to the Model

NEFSC staff provided the following information as to how and why the model was updated:
"The RDM requires a projected catch-per-trip distribution to calculate the likely number of fish harvested and discarded under a given future regulatory scenario. Prior to the recent updates, the model assumed that projected catch-per-trip followed the same distribution of catch-per-trip found in the 2021 MRIP data (the most recent annual data available), with an adjustment based on projected stock structures. However, using a single year of recent MRIP data to project catch-pertrip leaves the model vulnerable to outlier influence as was discovered after the November 2022 summer flounder, black sea bass, and scup monitoring committee meeting. Specifically, I found that the predictive performance of the model could be improved by using MRIP catch-per-trip data from the most recent five years, rather than a single recent year, to project future catch-per-trip. This change resulted in the 2023 status-quo estimate of summer flounder harvest to increase by
roughly $30 \%$ relative to the preliminary model estimate, which is similar to the difference between average catch-per-trip in 2021 and average catch-per-trip from 2018 through 2022."

## Updated Model Results

Table 1 includes a summary of the updated model results and $80 \%$ confidence intervals in comparison to the RDM results presented to the MC, as well as the RFDM results which have not changed since the November 15 MC meeting. Additional model outputs and performance results (i.e., out of sample analysis results) are included in the appendix.

## Implications for Percent Change Approach and 2023 Measures

As previously stated, the RDM was not the MC's preferred model for setting 2023 scup and black sea bass measures. However, even if the RDM was selected as preferred, the updates to the RDM do not impact the resulting percent change required for scup and black sea bass using that model (i.e., a $10 \%$ reduction was required under both the previous and revised RDM results). The updated results would only impact the harvest projection from which adjustments are taken (i.e., median estimated 2023 harvest under 2022 measures, which is reduced by $10 \%$ to define the coastwide harvest target that measures should achieve).

However, the MC selected the RDM as their preferred model for setting 2023 summer flounder recreational measures, and the updates to the RDM change the outcome for summer flounder under the Percent Change Approach. Specifically, the values the MC considered resulted in a $10 \%$ liberalization; however, the updated values are higher and result in confidence intervals that encompass the 2023 RHL, requiring a $10 \%$ reduction under the Percent Change Approach (Table 2).

The Council and Board should consider this information when recommending 2023 measures for summer flounder, and also for scup and black sea bass in the event that Council and Board prefer to use the RDM for these species as well.

Table 1: Summary of RDM and RFDM model outputs for summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass including recent updates to the RDM outputs. The November 15 MC recommendations for each species are bolded and highlighted in gray. Values are in millions of pounds.

| Species | Model used | Estimated 2023 <br> Harvest Under <br> Current <br> Measures | $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ Confidence Interval | $\begin{aligned} & 2023 \\ & \text { RHL } \end{aligned}$ | Stock Size Category | Resulting Percent Change Bin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summer <br> Flounder | RDM: Previous (Nov 10) | 8.38 | 7.56-9.52 | 10.62 | Low | 10\% liberalization |
|  | RDM: Current (Dec 6) | 10.92 | 9.23-12.94 |  |  | 10\% reduction |
|  | RFDM: Current (Nov 15) | $\begin{gathered} 12.77 \text { (with NJ } \\ \text { adjustment: } 10.45 \\ \text { or } 10.18 \text { ) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 7.01-22.26 |  |  | 10\% reduction |
| Scup | RDM: Previous (Nov 10) | 17.21 | 13.56-22.68 | 9.27 | Very High | 10\% reduction |
|  | RDM: Current (Dec 6) | 14.31 | 9.90-17.40 |  |  | 10\% reduction |
|  | RFDM: Current (Nov 15) | $14.42^{\text {a }}$ | 8.95-23.08 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | 10\% liberalization ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Black Sea } \\ \text { Bass } \end{gathered}$ | RDM: Previous (Nov 10) | 11.05 | 10.00-11.96 | 6.74 | Very High | 10\% reduction |
|  | RDM: Current (Dec 6) | 7.93 | 7.17-8.63 |  |  | 10\% reduction |
|  | RFDM: Current <br> (Nov 15) | $11.96{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 8.17-16.81 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | 10\% reduction |

[^0]Table 2: Process for determining appropriate percent change in expected harvest when developing measures under the Percent Change Approach, with Nov. 2022 MC recommendations for summer flounder highlighted in red, and summer flounder outcomes based on revised RDM outputs highlighted in blue. The outcomes for scup and black sea bass were unchanged based on the revised RDM results (i.e., $10 \%$ reduction under both the prior and revised RDM results).

| Column 1 Future RHL vs Estimated Harvest | Column 2 <br> Biomass compared to target level (SSB/SSB ${ }_{\text {MSY }}$ ) | Column 3 <br> Change in Harvest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Future 2-year average RHL is greater than the upper bound of the harvest estimate CI (harvest expected to be lower than the RHL) | Very high (greater than $150 \%$ of target) | Liberalization percent equal to difference between harvest estimate and 2-year avg. RHL, not to exceed $40 \%$ |
|  | High <br> (at least the target level, but no higher than $150 \%$ of target) | Liberalization percent equal to difference between harvest estimate and 2-year avg. RHL, not to exceed $20 \%$ |
|  | Low (below the target stock size) | Liberalization: 10\% |
| Future 2-year average RHL is within harvest estimate CI (harvest expected to be close to the RHL) | Very high (greater than $150 \%$ of target) | Liberalization: 10\% |
|  | High <br> (at least the target level, but no higher than $150 \%$ of target) | No liberalization or reduction: $0 \%$ |
|  | Low <br> (below the target stock size) | Reduction: 10\% |
| Future 2-year average RHL is less <br> than the lower bound of the harvest estimate CI (harvest is expected to exceed the RHL) | Very high <br> (greater than $150 \%$ of target) | Reduction: 10\% |
|  | High <br> (at least the target level, but no higher than $150 \%$ of target) | Reduction percent equal to difference between harvest estimate and 2-year avg. RHL, not to exceed 20\% |
|  | Low <br> (below the target stock <br> size) | Reduction percent equal to difference between harvest estimate and 2-year avg. RHL, not to exceed $40 \%$ |
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Rec. demand model out-of-sample predictions for black sea bass

## MRIP vs. model projections of coastwide bsb harvest (pounds)



2020



2022


Gray: MRIP mean estimates and $95 \%$ Cl's (summed across states)
Black: Model median estimates and $95 \%$ Cl's based on percentiles of the distribution (summed across states)

MRIP vs. model projections of coastwide bsb release (numbers)


2020



2022


Gray: MRIP mean estimates and $95 \%$ Cl's (summed across states)
Black: Model median estimates and $95 \%$ Cl's based on percentiles of the distribution (summed across states)

MRIP vs. model projections of coastwide bsb harvest (numbers)


2020


2019


2022


Gray: MRIP mean estimates and $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ 's (summed across states)
Black: Model median estimates and $95 \%$ Cl's based


MRIP vs. model projections of coastwide bsb catch (numbers)

2018

2020



2022


Gray: MRIP mean estimates and $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ 's (summed across states)
Black: Model median estimates and $95 \%$ Cl's based on percentiles of the distribution (summed across states)

Appendix: Updated RDM Outputs and Out of Sample Predictions
Rec. demand model out-of-sample predictions for scup
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Appendix: Updated RDM Outputs and Out of Sample Predictions

Table 1. Out of sample model predictions and comparisons with MRIP 2018, 2019, 2020, 2022.

| year | species | outcome |  | Model <br> (millions) | MRIP <br> (millions) | difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | \% difference |
| :---: |
| ((model-MRIP)/MRIP)*100 |

## Definitions associated with the model runs shown on the pages below

1. Status quo: 2022 measures for all three species

## Summer Flounder

2. NP1: 2022 summer flounder conservation equivalency non-preferred coastwide measures

- 4 fish possession limit, 18.5 inch min size, May $15-$ Sept 15 open season.

3. SF1: 17 inches, 3 fish, May 1 - September 30 in all states
4. SF2: The following regulations by state:

| State | Minimum Size <br> (inches) | Possession <br> Limit | Open Season |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Massachusetts | 16 | 5 fish | May 23-October 9 |
| Rhode Island | 18 | 6 fish | May 3-December 31 |
| Connecticut | 18 | 4 fish | May 4- September 30 |
| New York | 18 | 3 fish | May 24- September 21 |
| New Jersey | 17 | 4 fish | January 1- December 31 |
| Delaware | 16 |  |  |
| Maryland |  | 4 fish | January 1-September 3 |
| Virginia | 15 |  |  |

5. SF3: 1 fish from 16-19 inches, 2 fish 19 inches and greater, May 1 - Sept 30 in all states.

## Scup

6. Scup1: The following regulations by state

| State | Minimum Size (inches) | Possession Limit | Open Season |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Massachusetts | 10 | 15 fish | Jan. 1 - Dec. 31 |
| Rhode Island | 10 |  | Jan. 1 - Dec. 31 |
| Connecticut | 10 |  | Jan. 1 - Dec. 31 |
| New York | 10 |  | Jan. 1 - Dec. 31 |
| New Jersey | 10 |  | Jan. 1 - Dec. 31 |
| Delaware | 9 |  | Jan. 1 - Dec. 31 |
| Maryland | 9 |  | Jan. 1 - Dec. 31 |
| Virginia | 9 |  | Jan. 1 - Dec. 31 |
| North Carolina | 9 |  | Jan. 1 - Dec. 31 |

7. Scup2: The following regulations by state

| State | Minimum Size <br> (inches) | Possession <br> Limit | Open Season |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Massachusetts | 11 | 30 | Jan. 1 - Dec. 31 |
| Rhode Island | 11 | 30 | Jan. 1-Dec. 31 |
| Connecticut | 11 | 30 | Jan. 1 - Dec. 31 |
| New York | 11 | 30 | Jan. 1 - Dec. 31 |
| New Jersey | 11 | 50 | Jan. 1 - Dec. 31 |
| Delaware | 10 | 50 | Jan. 1 - Dec. 31 |
| Maryland | 10 | 50 | Jan. 1 - Dec. 31 |
| Virginia | 10 | 30 | Jan. 1 - Dec. 31 |
| North Carolina | 10 | 50 | Jan. 1 - Dec. 31 |

## Black Sea Bass

8. NP2: 2022 black sea bass conservation equivalency non-preferred coastwide measures

- 5 fish possession limit, 14 inch min size, May 15 - Sept 21 open season.

9. BSB1: 5 fish, 15 inch minimum size, May 15-Sept 21 open season in all states.

## Appendix: Updated RDM Outputs and Out of Sample Predictions

Projected 2023 summer flounder harvest


- (sum) med_weight_lb_sf_harvest
(sum) ub_90_weight_- lb_sf_harvest/(sum) lb_90_weight_lb_sf_harves (sum) ub_80_weight_lb_sf_harvest/(sum) lb_80_weight_lb_sf_harvest

Red line indicates 2023 RHL


- (sum) med_weight_lb_scup_harvest
(sum) ub_90_weight_lb_scup_harvest/(sum) lb_90_weight_lb_scup_harvest (sum) ub_80_weight_lb_scup_harvest/(sum) lb_80_weight_lb_scup_harvest

Red line indicates 2023 RHL

Projected 2023 black sea bass harvest


- (sum) med_weight_lb_bsb_harvest
(sum) ub_90_weight_lb_bsb_harvest/(sum) lb_90_weight_lb_bsb_harvest (sum) ub_80_weight_lb_bsb_harvest/(sum) lb_80_weight_lb_bsb_harvest

Red line indicates 2023 RHL

Projected 2023 change in consumer surplus


- (sum) med_change CS
(sum) ub_90_change_CS/(sum) Ib_90_change_CS
(sum) ub_80_change_CS/(sum) lb_80_change_CS
Note: positive changes in CS means anglers are worse off; it can be interpreted as the money anglers would require in compensation for reduced fishing quality relative to 2021 and remain at 2021 fishing utility levels. Conversely negative changes in CS means anglers are better off; it can be interpreted as the amountof money anglers would be willing

Appendix: Updated RDM Outputs and Out of Sample Predictions

Table 2. Model projected harvest (millions pounds) under 2023 management alternatives and confidence intervals based on percentiles of the projected distribution.

| 2023 | Median <br> regulation <br> estimate | Lower and upper bounds on <br> the $90 \%$ confidence interval | Lower and upper bounds on <br> the $80 \%$ confidence interval |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Summer flounder |  |  |  |  |  |
| status quo | 10.9224 | 8.8015 | 13.6309 | 9.2311 | 12.9491 |
| SF1 | 13.8663 | 11.6531 | 16.2894 | 12.0917 | 15.8318 |
| SF2 | 13.5848 | 10.9861 | 16.5247 | 11.5104 | 15.9017 |
| SF3 | 12.8742 | 10.8433 | 15.2660 | 11.2890 | 14.7818 |
| Scup1 | 10.9050 | 8.8272 | 13.5898 | 9.2058 | 12.9873 |
| Scup2 | 10.9415 | 8.9304 | 13.5763 | 9.2428 | 13.0415 |
| BSB1 | 10.9015 | 8.8457 | 13.5723 | 9.2156 | 12.9299 |
| NP1 | 6.3945 | 4.7590 | 8.6091 | 5.0658 | 8.1497 |
| NP2 | 10.9563 | 8.8709 | 13.5913 | 9.2076 | 12.9748 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black sea bass |  |  |  |  |  |
| status quo | 7.9288 | 6.9908 | 8.7955 | 7.1715 | 8.6253 |
| SF1 | 7.9463 | 7.0185 | 8.7817 | 7.2151 | 8.6156 |
| SF2 | 7.9622 | 7.0428 | 8.8002 | 7.2017 | 8.6442 |
| SF3 | 7.9644 | 7.0513 | 8.8147 | 7.2334 | 8.6638 |
| Scup1 | 7.9125 | 7.0245 | 8.7901 | 7.2187 | 8.6131 |
| Scup2 | 7.9323 | 7.0078 | 8.7533 | 7.1668 | 8.6033 |
| BSB1 | 7.5676 | 6.6136 | 8.4616 | 6.7841 | 8.2728 |
| NP1 | 7.8485 | 6.9495 | 8.6829 | 7.1422 | 8.5362 |
| NP2 | 9.3532 | 8.2397 | 10.2598 | 8.4964 | 10.0764 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scup |  |  |  |  |  |
| status quo | 14.3142 | 9.0310 | 17.9754 | 9.8993 | 17.4031 |
| SF1 | 14.4225 | 9.0766 | 18.1666 | 9.9696 | 17.5214 |
| SF2 | 14.3891 | 9.1323 | 18.0770 | 9.9899 | 17.3537 |
| SF3 | 14.4362 | 9.1128 | 18.2689 | 10.0272 | 17.4793 |
| Scup1 | 13.7933 | 8.8734 | 16.7699 | 9.8474 | 16.1704 |
| Scup2 | 10.4915 | 6.9925 | 13.8373 | 7.7191 | 13.2615 |
| BSB1 | 14.2898 | 8.9457 | 18.0240 | 9.8815 | 17.3367 |
| NP1 | 14.1664 | 8.9558 | 17.8999 | 9.8244 | 17.1802 |
| NP2 | 14.3057 | 8.9220 | 18.0098 | 9.8931 | 17.3437 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ RFDM model results are reported in number of fish and were converted to pounds based on average weight of harvest in 2021 from MRIP.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ As described above, a recreational AM has also been triggered for scup indicating that adjustments to measures are needed. As such, the MC recommended that it is not appropriate to take a liberalization for scup and that measures should remain status quo.

